Helisen Topeka And Santa Fe

Jetween San Francisco and Chicago Via Albuquerque, and Kansas City.

Sneed Comfortand Elegance Pullman, and, Dining Service Unsurpassed.

Passing through the Grandest Scenery of the West F W Frince, Agent. 64% Market St. San Francis o Cal

Sacramento Saloon

ANDY TODD, Prop.

The best of liquid refreshments always on tap, including imported and domestic goods.

Good Cigars are a part of our stock.

You never make a mistake at the old corner.

The cagle Market

Our Meats are the best, if you are not satisfied with the place you are trading call on us Our motto is "The Best." A pleased patron means a steady customer

The Eagle Market

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE Notice of Application for Permission FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

In and for the County of Ormsby.

Marion W. Bulkley,

Plaintiff

Joseph W. Bulkley, Defendant

of the First Judicial District of the State of Nevada, Ormsby County, and the complaint filed in the said county, in the office of the Clerk of said District Court on the 2d days of December, A. D. 1995.

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETING TO

JOSEPH W. BULKLEY Defendant.

You are hereby required to appear be actually applied to a beneficial use in an action brought against you by on or before June 1, 1908. You are hereby required to appear the above named Plaintiff, in the Divtrict Court of the nrst Judicial District of the State of Nevada, Ormsby County, and answer complaint filed therein within ten days (exclusive of the day of service) after the service

on you of this Summons is served said county, or if served out of said County, but within the District, twenty days, in all other cases forty days, or judgment by default will be taken against you according to the prayer of said complaint.

The said action is brought to obtain the judgment and decree of this court ond semi-annual apportionmen t of that the bonds of matrimony here: o- School Moneys for 1905, on the basis fore and now existing and uniting you of \$6.990202 per census child: and said plaintiff to be forever annuled and dissolved upon the ground that at divers times and places since said marriage you have committed adultry with one Kate Cottrell, and particularly that from about the 9th day of Ju 10 1900 to and including, the 13th day o. June, 1900, at the Charing Cross Hotel in the city of London, England, you lived and conabited with said Kate Cottrell.

All of which more fully appears by complaint as filed herein to which you are hereby referred.

And you are hereby notified that if you fail to answer the Complaint, the said Plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief herein demanded. GIVEN under my hand and Seal of the

District Court of the First Judicial District of the state of Nevala Ormsby County, this 2d day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand more hundred and Five. H. B. VAN ETTEN, Clerk.

(SEAL).

Geo. W. Keits, Atterney for Plaintiff. to Appropriate the Public Waters of the State of Nevada.

Notice is hereby g iven that on the 12th day of Sept., 1905, in accordance with Section 23, Chapter XLVI, of the Statutes of 1905, one Philip V. Mighels and Frank L. Wildes of Carson, County of Ormsby and State of Nevada, made application to the State Action brought in the District Court | Engineer of Nevada for permission to appropriate the public waters of the State of Nevada, Such application to be made from Ash Canyon creek at points in N E ¼ of S W ¼ of section 10 T 15 N R 19 E by means of a dam and headgate and five cubic feet per second is to be conveyed to points in N E 1/4 of S W 1/4 of section 11, T 15 N R 19 E., by means of a flume and pipe and there used to generate electrical power. The construction of said works shall begin before June 1, 1906, and shall be completed on or before June 1, 1907. The water shall

> Signed: HEN .. Y THURTELL, State Engineer

SCHOOL APPORTIONMENT. STATE OF NEVADA,

Department of Education. Office of Superintendent of Public In-

Carson City, Nevada, July 11, 1905 To the School Officers of Nevada: Following is a statement of the sec-

Counties	children	Amt.
Churchill		943 68
Douglass		2,215 90
Elko		7,829 62
Esmeralda		1,516 97
Eureka	389	2,719 20
Humboldt	743	L .
Lander	318	150
Lincoln	764	150-11
Lyon	499	数据
Nye	850	
Ormsby		P.
Storey	939	M
Washoe	2,412	16,860 36
White Pine	525	3,669 84
•	*	
Total	9,430	\$65,917 61

Joe Platt has received samples of tailor made suitings which are, without doubt the finest ever shown in this city. A number of suits have already been made and they are perfect fits in every case. Get your measure taken and do it before the bost samples are gone. He guarantees a fit or no new.

N THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF LEVADA.

n the Matter of the Application of Frank P. Kel.y in behalf of H. Osuna, for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. Woodburn, Atty. for Petitioner. tterney General James G. Sweeney r the State.

Upon the application of Frank P. telly, in behalf of F. Osuna, a writ ble before this Court. It appears rom the return of the writ that H. Isuna is held in the custody of J. F. 'radley, Sheriff of Esmeralda County, mon a commitment of the Justice of he eace of Hawtnorne Township to nswer the charge of rape committed toon one Harriet Averill on the night of the 3d of October, 1905.

It is complained by petitioner that this commitment was issued without essonable or probable cause and in support of this contention the followng specific charges are made respecting the testimony introduced upon the preliminary examination of the defendant,

That the said prosecuting witness. arriet Averill, upon whom the said rime of rane was alleged to have testify at said examination; but a ntsses a day after the commission of said alleged offense was admitted in Peace against the objection of the attorney of said Osuna. That no legal testimony was given showing that Harry Averill, who signed said state leged to have been committed. That no legal evidence was introduced by which is bereunto annexed and made port of this petition. That there was no proof that the crime of rape or any other offense had been committed on Harriet Averill, or upon Harry Averill, or that there was sufficient cause to believe the said Osuna guilty of committing a public offense." It appears from the record that

Osuna was arrested and brought before the Justice of the Peace at Hawthorne on the 6thd ay of October, 1905. and the complaint of the prosecuting witness, charging him with the crime of the defendant the examination was continued until October 10th., at which time the defendant appeared with his attorney and the examination was proceeded with. It appears that the complaining witness was not present and her name was called at the door without response. The deputy sheriff. A. N. Jones, was then called and sworn as a witness and testified that when he brought the de-Harry Averill now?" answered: "I defendant." think she has gone". The absence of this important witness, who is called whelming weight of authority in this of this evidence to warrant the conin the testimony both as Harriett and at one time to have been within reach it appear what steps were taken to procure her testimony at the hearing. charged be conclusively established Upon this showing of the absence of the witness Harriett Averill, the District Attorney offered in evidence what purported to be a written statement of the facts of the alleged rane signed by the said Harriett Averill on the evening of the 4th of October, in the presence of witnesses and de clared in the ir presence to be a true statement of the facts of the alleged crime. This written statement was admitted in evidence over the objection of the defendant's attorney. A witness to this written statement.

Robert A. Lovegrove, Farmer 'n charge of the Walker Lake Indian Reservation, was permitted, over defendants objection, to testify that he had written this statement for the complainant as she detailed the facts, that he read the same over to her before she signed it, and that he warned her of the seriousness of the charge she was making against the defendant.

S. W. Hance, a telegraph operator. residing at the place where the crime is alleged to have been committed. was, also, permitted to testify, over defendant's objection, that he was a witness to the written statement and heard the complainant detail the facts therein stated; also, that at noon of the same day that the said Harriet Averill had come to his office and had made the same charges against the defendant to him, and, that at her solicitation, he dictated a telegram to her mother, who was then in San Francisco, relative to the assault and requesting her to come home at once. A copy of this telegram was offered and admitted in evidence over the defendant's objection.

Dr. F. C. Pache, a physician residing at Hawthorne, was also permitted to testify, over defendant's objection, that at the time of making an examination of the person of the complainant some days after the alleged offense was committed she informed him that the defendant had made a criminal assault upon her and with violence accomplished his purpose.

The position taken by counsel for the petitioner that these statements of the complainant were made at a time too remote to form a part of the res geste; were hearsay and for that reason were inadmissible, must be sustained. (State vs. Campbell, 29

It apears, however, from the record that after the complainant had sign-

That he asked the defendant what he source other than that of the de-

* habeas corpus was issued return- the witness does not seem to have been ing whatever in the record even pointconsidered by cousel upon either side ing toward the commission of a in the presentation of this case as crime." (See also People vs Jones 31 the testimony relative to the state- State vs Guild 10 N. J. L. 180, 18 Am. ments of the complainant heretofore Dec. 414.) referred to, made without the pres-however, it presents a question worthy "proof of the corpus delicti may be counsel, but as it has not been pre- dence, provided it is satisfactory," sented in the briefs or arguments in | In the case before us we think there egistrate in holding the defendant n answer, can be sustained upon other portions of the testimony alone, a question will not now be deter-

It is urged by counsel for petitioner that with the statements made by the complainent excluded, there, is no

competent proof of the corpus delicti. Two witnesses, C. O. Wilson and A. Jones, the deputy sheriff, gave tesony relative to an admission ide by the defendant while he was itness Wason relative to the admission is as follows:

the car at a piace called Shurz betand a young lady, I afterwards found ants skirt. to be Harry Averill, and they took poscame in and was talking to the de- seemed to be swollen and red. fendant. The mother asked him what The witness Lovegrove, also, tesought to kill you. He assented. He throat, apparently scratches. did yes. Well she says why don't I of rape, read to him. At the request; times and about that time she fainted of but twenty-one years of age, and and swooned away."

The testimony of the deputy sheriff, relative to this admission, was substantialy to the same effect

Counsel for petitioner say in their brief: "The testimony of Wilson and Jones deputy sheriff, as to the admissions of in defendant's care.

the defendant to his wife on a railinadmissible because there was no delicti and were sufficient together fendant to Hawthorne that the com-I proof that a crime had been commit- with the defendant's admission to plainant and her mother accompanied | ted, and the corpus delicti cannot be justify the magistrate in holding the them. Upon being asked, "Where is established by the confession of the defendant to answer.

It will be conceeded that the overcountry is to the effect that an extra- viction of the defendant, and upon a prisoner, not corborated by m. this connection it is proper to obthe process of the court, is not dependent proof of the corpus delicti, serve that a magistrate in holding a will not justify conviction. It is not defendant to answer for a crime, is requisite, however, that the crime not required to have submitted eviby evidence independent of the confession or admission. It is sufficient if there be other competent evidenc cent decision, In re Mitchell (Cal. tending to establish the fact of the App.) 82 Pac. \$47, "In order to hold commission of a crime.

> Y.) 53, Nelson, C. J. said: "Full proof of the body of the crime, the corpus dencti, independently of the confession is not required by any of the cases; and in many of them slight 'a public offense has been committed corroborating facts were held suffici-

In the case of state vs Hall, 31 W Va. 505 the Court said: "We know of no decisions anywhere that hold the admissions of the defendant are not competent eidence tending to prove the corpus delicti. Such admissions may not be sufficient proof if the corpus delicti, but they certainly By J. W. Legate, Deputy. are competent evidence tending to prove that the crime charged has been committed."

In the case of Mattheys vs State, 5 Ala., 187; 28 Am. Rep. 698, where many STATE OF NEVADA. authorities are cited and reviewed. the Court by Bricknell, C. J. says 'Nor must we be understood as affirdelicti must be as full and conclusive as would be essential if there was no confession to corroborate it. Evidence of facts and circumstances, attending the particular offense, and usually attending the commission of similar offense-or of facts to the discovery of which the confession has led, and which would not probably have existed if the offense had not been committed—or of facts having a just tendency to lead the mind to the conclusion that the ofbeen committed fense has -would be admissible to corroborate the confession. The weight which would be accorded them, when connected with the confession, the jury must determine, under proper in structions from the Court.'

The case of People vs. Simpson, 107 Cal. 346, cited in petitioner's brief, is in line with the authorities above quoted. The court in that case say: 'Ine term 'corpus delicti' means exactly what it says. It involves the element of crime. Upon a charge of homicide, producing the dead body does not establish the corpus delcti. It would simply establish the corpus; and proof of the dead body alone, joined with a confession of the de fendant of his guilt, would not ! e sufficient to convict. For there must ed the written statement, that the be some evidence tending to show witness Lovegrove called in the ue- the commission of a homicide, before fendant, and that the witness read the a defendant's confession would be adstatement over to him. That at the missible for any purpose.* * * * To same time the witness warned the be sure, the appearance of the dead charge she was making and that she evidence of a struggle, the physical had better be careful what she said; | circumstances surrounding the affair

that she said it was true. That he may furnish evidence of the corpus told the defendant that he would deficti-they may indicate that a place him under arrest to appear be- crime has been committed, but there fore a court to answer the charge, must be proof of the fact from some had to say to the charge and that fendant's admissions." The court the defendant same "he would answer cites other examples and then referefore a court or when it was time in to the case under consideration says: "Laying aside the avidence of This portion of the testimony of defendant's admissions, there is nothstanding in a different position from Cal. 567; State vs Lamb 28 Mo. 219.

In the case of the State vs. Ah careful consideration of court and established by circumstantial evi-

his matter, and as, in the view we was competent evidence independent ale of the case, the action of the of th admissions of the defendant tending to establish the corpus delicti Dr. Pache testified that on Saturday four days after the alleged offense was committed, he made an examination of the person of the complainant, Harriet Averill, who is shown to be but slightly over fifteen years of age; that he found that her hymen was inflamed and at some time evideatly had been lacerated; that the young lady was rather hysterical and would only permit occular inspection and digital examination on account eing taken upon the train from the of the extreme tenderness of the ave been commuted to Hawthorne, the evidence I found I would state That portion of the testimony of the that in all probability that Miss Averill at some time had had intercourse with a member of the opposite sex." Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series This defendant was brought into There was other testimony of the witness relative to what appeared to ween here and Reno with Mr. Jones be blood stains upon the complain-

The witness Hance, who saw the s ion of a seat I had occupied un complainant at noon of October 4th. that time. I took the seat across testified that she was then agitated the aisle, Seeing the man with and nervous and appeared to have racelets on excited more or less cur- been crying; that he observed marks josity and when he came into the car of violence upon her nose and upper the young lady went in the car be lip; that she showed him marks unhind and got another lady which I on her wrists; also, a mark on the learned was her mother. The mother side of her throat and that her throat

made him do it. The defendant says tifled to observing on the evening of School library, No. 2.........86 00 I don't know. The mother was hys. October 4th, a mark upon the nose terical and she made the remark I and on the side of complainant's

It also may be gathered from the do it and repeated the remark several evidence that the defendant, a man the complainant, his step-daughter, were at the time of the alleged assault, occupying a box car as a hom? the defendant being in the employ of the railroad) the defendant's wife, mother of the complainant being absent, and the complainant being left

We think these facts and circumroad car after his arrest are clearly stances tended to prove the corpus

We are not called upon, on this hearing, to pass upon the sufficiency dence sufficient to establish the guilf of the person charged beyond a reasonable doubt. As was said in a .e defendant and put him on his trial. In people vs. Bradley, 16 Wend. (N.) the committing magistrate is not required to find evidence sufficient to warrant a conviction. All that is required is that there be a sufficient legal evidence to make it appear that and there is sufficient cause to believe the defendant guilty thereof'

The writ issued herein is dismissed Norcross, J. We concur: Fitzgerald, C. J. Filed December 18, 1905. W. G. Douglass, Clerk

OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE

County of Ormsby, s. s. James G. Sweeney being duly swern ming that the proof of the corpus say they are members of the Board of Examiners of the State of Hauling, Nev., that on the 29th day of Nov '05 they, (after having ascertained from the books of the State Controller the amount of money that should be in the Treasury) made an offcial examination and count of the money and vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the san: correct as follows: \$151,107 29 Coin

> Paid coin vonchers not returned to Controller 16,835 71 167,945 00 Total State School Fund Securities. Irredeemable Nevada State 380,000 00 School bond Mass. State 3 per cent 537 000 00 bonds Nevada State Bonds 253,700 00 Mass. State 31/2 per cent

313,000 00 bonds United States Bonds 215,000 00 \$1,866,643.00 Total W. G. Douglass James G. Sweeney

Subscribed and sworn before me this 29th day of November, A. D. 1905. J. Doane. Notary Public, Ormsvy County, Nev.

---Large fresh Eastern oysters in bulk at Davey & Maishs'

C. W. Friend is getting in his holi-

Quarterly Report.

OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR

Ormsby County, Nevada. To the Honorable, the Board of Cours ty Commissioners, Gentlemen: In compliance with the law, I herewith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disbursements of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 20, 1905.

Receipts. Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter ... \$40023 3634 County licenses. 701 05 Fee of Co. officers............531 40 Rent of county bldg.......250 00 1st. Instalment taxes 14924 21% Slot machine license......282 00 Semi-Annual Set, State Treas 531 78 Keep of W. Bowen 45 00 61,077 36% Disbursements.

Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series Co. School Fund. Dist. 1 388 95 Co. School fund, Dist. 2. 151 20 Co. School fund Dist. 3......30 70 Co School Fund Dist, 4.....24 00 State School fund, Dist. 1., 2605 00 State school fund, Dist 2...160 00 State School fund, dist.3 ...120 00 State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00 Special building5850 00

21.968 5916 Re pitulation. Cash in Treasury October 1905 Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec Disbursements from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 1905 _......21968 5914 Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906......39108 7753 Respectfully submitted,

H. DIETERICH, County Auditor. Recapitulation Co. School fund3248 71

as Harry Averill, and who is shown judicial confession or admission of that question express no opinion. In Co. School Dist. 1, fund. 7638 221/2 Co. School Dist, 2, fund.....139 64 Co. School Dist. 3, fund..... 190 2614 Co. School Dist. 3, fund.....425 55 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist. 2, fund.....77 51 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39 State School Dist 4, fund.....19 22 Agl. Assn. Fund A........680 8214 Agi. Assn Fund Special...1918 94 Co. School Dist. fund - special13735 90% Co. School Dist. fund 1, library

> Co. School Dist fund 4, library 39108 77% Respectfuly submitted H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer

......108 40

Co School Dist. fund 3, library

MILLARD CATLIN,

Freighting Dra ing

Trunks and Baggage taken to and delivered at all trains.

Ho. For the West...

Teil your friends that the colenist rates are going into effect March 1st, 1905 and expire May 15, 1905. The rate from Chicago, Ill, \$31.00, St. Louis Mo., New Orleans, La, \$30 00, Council Bluffs Ia., Sioux City. Ia., Omaha, Neb., Kansas City, Mo., Mineola, Texas and Houston Texas, \$25.00. Rates apply to Main Line points in Octifornia and Nevada.

For Sale.

Two quartz wagons, one wood and one low wheel wagen, also harness for complainant that it was a serious body, the nature of the wounds, the day stock which is well selected and six herses. House, barn and five le's